



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/605,039	06/27/2000	Herbert Baechler	32771US1	8774
116	7590 09/05/2002			
PEARNE & GORDON LLP			EXAMINER	
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE EAST SUITE 1200			NI, SUHAN	
CLEVELANI	O, OH 44114-1484		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		}	\mathcal{Z}
: :	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/605,039	BAECHLER, HERBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suhan Ni	2643	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	i.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	<u>June 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			s
4)⊠ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	_		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		140()()	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	* *		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and Trademark Office		-	

Application/Control Number: 09/605,039

Art Unit: 2643 ~ ___

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the applicant's amendment dated 06/12/2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA).

Regarding claims 6 and 11, APA discloses a hearing aid fitting system (Fig. 1) comprising: a fitting calculator unit (3) equipped with an input and a setting signal output for sending a setting input to a hearing aid (Fig. 1); and a rating unit (9) having an output; wherein said output of said rating unit is linked to said input of said fitting calculator unit as claimed. But APA does not clearly show a bi-directional interface for a two-way communication as claimed. Since the fitting unit (3) is a digital computer or a part of a computer, and using a suitable port (such as USB) to provide communication between computer and other external device is very well know in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to utilize a suitable computer port for providing a two-way communication between computer, rating unit and hearing aid as an alternate choice, in order to provide an easy, fast communication. Moreover, method claim 11 is similar to claim 6 except for being couched in method terminology; such methods would be inherent when the structure is shown in the references.



Application/Control Number: 09/605,039

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 8, APA further discloses the hearing aid fitting system, wherein the rating unit (9) has keypad (Fig. 1).

3. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA) over Vegter (US-6,286,073).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, ADA does not clearly show that said interface is an I²C interface as claimed. Vegter discloses an I2C interface (10), which is suitable for communicating data between a personal computer and an external device (col. 1, lines 57-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to provide the I²C interface or bus taught by Vegter for the hearing aid fitting system as an alternate choice, in order to provide more efficient and effective communication (col. 2, lines 16-24).

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA) over De Koning (US-6,240,194).

Regarding claim 10, ADA does not clearly show a wireless link as claimed. De Koning discloses a hearing aid system having a wireless link (Fig. 1) for communicating data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to provide a wireless link, at least for part of data communication for the hearing aid fitting system as an alternate choice, in order to simplifying the data transmitting processing.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/605,039

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"), or

(703) 305-9508, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Receptionist, Sixth Floor, Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Suhan Ni** whose telephone number is (703)-308-9322, and the number for fax machine is (703)-305-9508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday

Art Unit: 2643

through Thursday from 9:00 am to 7:30 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached at (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SN

August 30, 2002

STELLA WOO PRIMARY EXAMINER